

Book 10

Proposition 73

If a rational (straight-line), which is commensurable in square only with the whole, is subtracted from a(nother) rational (straight-line) then the remainder is an irrational (straight-line). Let it be called an apotome.



For let the rational (straight-line) BC , which commensurable in square only with the whole, have been subtracted from the rational (straight-line) AB . I say that the remainder AC is that irrational (straight-line) called an apotome.

For since AB is incommensurable in length with BC , and as AB is to BC , so the (square) on AB (is) to the (rectangle contained) by AB and BC [Prop. 10.21 lem.], the (square) on AB is thus incommensurable with the (rectangle contained) by AB and BC [Prop. 10.11]. But, the (sum of the) squares on AB and BC is commensurable with the (square) on AB [Prop. 10.15], and twice the (rectangle contained) by AB and BC is commensurable with the (rectangle contained) by AB and BC [Prop. 10.6]. And, inasmuch as the (sum of the squares) on AB and BC is equal to twice the (rectangle contained) by AB and BC plus the (square) on CA [Prop. 2.7], the (sum of the squares) on AB and BC is thus also incommensurable with the remaining (square) on AC [Props. 10.13, 10.16]. And the (sum of the squares) on AB and BC is rational. AC is thus an irrational (straight-line) [Def. 10.4]. And let it be called an apotome.[†] (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.