


Book 10

Proposition 21

The rectangle contained by rational straight-lines (which are) commensurable in square only is irrational, and its square-root is irrational—let it be called medial.[†]




For let the rectangle AC be contained by the rational straight-lines AB and BC (which are) commensurable in square only. I say that AC is irrational, and its square-root is irrational—let it be called medial.

For let the square AD have been described on AB . AD is thus rational [Def. 10.4]. And since AB is incommensurable in length with BC . For they were assumed to be commensurable in square only. And AB (is) equal to BD . DB is thus also incommensurable in length with BC . And as DB is to BC , so AD (is) to AC

[Prop. 6.1]. Thus, DA [is] incommensurable with AC [Prop. 10.11]. And DA let it be called medial. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Lemma

If there are two straight-lines then as the first is to the second, so the (square) on the first (is) to the (rectangle contained) by the two straight-lines.



Let FE and EG be two straight-lines. I say that as FE is to EG , so the (square) on FE (is) to the (rectangle contained) by FE and EG .

For let the square DF have been described on FE . And let GD have been completed. Therefore, since as FE is to EG , so FD (is) to DG [Prop. 6.1], and FD is the (square) on FE , and DG the (rectangle contained) by DE and EG —that is to say, the (rectangle contained) by FE and EG —thus as FE is to EG , so the (square) on FE (is) to the (rectangle contained) by FE and EG . And also, similarly, as the (rectangle contained) by GE and EF is to the (square on) EF —that is to say, as GD (is) to FD —so GE (is) to EF . (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.